where we have written $\langle \tau(E) \rangle$ as explicitly dependent on the band gap $\Delta \epsilon$ (ie $\Delta E/kT$) at a particular pressure. A similar relation holds for the s valleys, and so equation (5) becomes

$$\rho(P) = \frac{1}{e\left\{n_{g}(P)\,\mu_{g}^{*}(P)\frac{\langle\tau_{g}\,\Delta\epsilon\rangle\rangle}{\langle\tau_{g}(\infty)\rangle} + n_{s}(P)\,\mu_{s}^{*}(P)\frac{\langle\tau_{s}(\Delta\epsilon)\rangle}{\langle\tau_{s}(\infty)\rangle}\right\}}\tag{7}$$

We now examine the Hall constant for the two carrier model which can be written as

$$R_{\rm H}(P) = \frac{-e^3}{3\sigma^2(P)} \left\{ n_{\rm s}(P) \left\langle \tau_{\rm s}^2(\Delta\epsilon) \right\rangle \frac{(K_{\rm s}+2) K_{\rm s}}{m_{\rm lg}^2} + n_{\rm g}(P) \left\langle \tau_{\rm g}^2(\Delta\epsilon) \right\rangle \frac{(K_{\rm g}+2) K_{\rm g}}{m_{\rm lg}^2} \right\}$$
(8)

This is the same formula as that given by Nathan *et al.* (1961) apart from an extra e^2 factor. If we substitute for $K_x = m_{1x}/m_{1x}$ then from equations (3)

$$\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = e \langle \tau_{\mathbf{x}}(E) \rangle \frac{(1+2K_{\mathbf{x}})}{3m_{\mathbf{1x}}} \tag{9}$$

and a further substitution in equation (8) yields

$$R_{\rm H}(P) = \frac{-e}{\sigma^2(P)} \left\{ n_{\rm s}(P) \, \mu_{\rm s}^{*\,2}(P) \, r_{\rm s}^* \frac{\langle \tau_{\rm s}^2(\Delta\epsilon) \rangle}{\langle \tau_{\rm s}^2(\infty) \rangle} \frac{3K_{\rm s}(K_{\rm s}+2)}{(1+2K_{\rm s})^2} \right. \\ \left. + n_{\rm g}(P) \, \mu_{\rm g}^{*\,2}(P) \, r_{\rm g}^* \frac{\langle \tau_{\rm g}^2(\Delta\epsilon) \rangle}{\langle \tau_{\rm g}^2(\infty) \rangle} \frac{3K_{\rm g}(K_{\rm g}+2)}{(1+2K_{\rm g})^2} \right\}$$
(10)

where $r_x^* = \langle \tau_x^2(\infty) \rangle / \langle \tau_x(\infty) \rangle^2$.

Now let $F_x = r_x^* 3K_x(K_x + 2)/(1 + 2K_x)^2$ and take the values of K_g from the measured Ge (~20) and K_s from the measured Si (~5) results (Glickman (1956) found little variation in K_s in Ge–Si alloys before band cross-over) to give $F_g \sim 0.78 r_g^*$ and $F_s \sim 0.87 r_s^*$. It can be shown that for intravalley acoustic mode scattering $r_g^* = r_s^* = 1.18$ (and hence $F_g = 0.29$), $F_s = 1.02$ and equation (10) becomes

$$R_{\rm H} = \frac{-e}{\sigma^2(P)} \left\{ 0.92 n_{\rm g}(P) \,\mu_{\rm g}^{*\,2}(P) \,\frac{\langle \tau^2(\Delta\epsilon) \rangle}{\langle \tau_{\rm g}^2(\infty) \rangle} + \,1.02 n_{\rm s}(P) \,\mu_{\rm s}^{*\,2}(P) \,\frac{\langle \tau_{\rm s}^2(\Delta\epsilon) \rangle}{\langle \tau_{\rm s}^2(\infty) \rangle} \right\} \tag{11}$$

To take account of the intervalley scattering Nathan *et al* (1961) used the further scattering parameters S and S' defined by

$$S = \frac{B_g C'_s v_s}{A_g C'_g} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S' = \frac{B_s C'_g v_g}{A_s C'_s} \tag{12}$$

which give the relative strengths of the inter- to intra-valley scattering for the L_1 and Δ_1 states. The relaxation times to be used in equations (7) and (11) then become modified in the manner shown in the appendix.

We are left with a number of parameters which can be used to fit the data:

(a) ΔE_0 the atmospheric pressure $\Delta_1 - L_1$ sub-band energy gap

(b) N_0 the ratio of the density of states

$$\frac{N_{\Delta_1}}{N_{L_1}} \propto \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{D}\Delta_1}^*}{m_{\mathrm{D}L_1}^*}\right)^{3/2}.$$

We have used initially the experimental value of $m_{DL}^* = 0.54 m_e$, and for $m_{D\Delta}^*$ have used predicted theoretical values (see table 1).

(c) The pressure coefficient of the sub-band gap $dE(\Delta_1 - L_1)/dP$ was taken as 5.9×10^{-6} eV bar⁻¹. This implies that the Δ_1 minima are moving towards the valence band maximum with a pressure coefficient of -0.9×10^{-6} eV bar⁻¹, if the L₁ minima have the

1827

accepted coefficient of 5.0×10^{-6} eV bar⁻¹. The small negative coefficient of the Δ_1 minima was estimated from the slopes of the resistivity and mobility curves beyond 55 kbar. These were less than have been observed for Si which has a pressure coefficient of -1.5×10^{-6} eV bar⁻¹. Our result is in agreement with the coefficient later used by Howard (1961) of -1.0×10^{-6} eV bar⁻¹ for unpublished magnetoconductivity measurements in n type Ge.

(d) The pressure coefficients of mobilities in the two bands. In the low pressure region, where the effect of the Δ_1 minima is small, the best fit for $\mu^*(P)$ was

$$\frac{\mu_{\rm g}^*(0)}{(1 + 0.008P)}$$

where P is in kbar. This 0.008 variation is larger than the result of 0.004 used by Nathan et al. (1961), but it gave the best fit in the 0-10 kbar range. The relatively large error in our results in this pressure range, however, (figure 1) limits the accuracy of such a fit, and the discrepancy should not be considered as serious. Our variation in μ_g^* is used for the whole pressure range. The variation in the Δ_1 mobility was ignored. This is reasonable in view of the small pressure coefficient of these minima.

(e) The anisotropy K_s of the Δ_1 minima is unknown, and to a first approximation we have used the Si value, $K_s \sim 5$. We have assumed that the anisotropies of both the L_1 and Δ_1 minima will not change with pressure. Early Hall and magnetoresistance measurements to 10 kbar by Benedek *et al.* (1955) implied that a change in K_g with pressure was taking place, but this was before any effect due to the Δ_1 minima was considered. W. E. Howard and W. Paul (unpublished) have found that K_g varies to a negligible extent from magnetoconductivity measurements at pressure, and Glickman and Christian (1956) found little variation in K_s on Si-Ge alloys before band cross-over.

5. Curve fitting

The two sets of results, resistivity and Hall mobility, were fitted separately. In the diagrams



Figure 3. Theoretical fits of high pressure resistivity data in n type Ge which illustrates how higher values of N_0 lead to a greater sub-band gap ΔE_0 and scattering parameter S', when S (=4) is constant. Full curve, experimental: $+ N_0 = 1.55$, S' = 0.34, $\Delta E_0 =$ 0.177 eV; $\bigcirc N_0 = 4.2$, S' = 0.123, $\Delta E_0 = 0.185 \text{ eV}$.